



Projects & Evaluation Process

Prof. Giuseppe Riccardi

Dipartimento di Ingegneria e Scienza dell'Informazione

University of Trento

riccardi@dit.unitn.it

Spring 2014 - Riccardi-Ronchetti

Lab. Programmazione sistemi mobili e tablets

1



Student Assessment (1)

- Project based Exam
- Team-up with classmates
 - No more than 3
 - Clear labor division
- Project Selection
 - Student Proposal
 - Staff Assignment

Spring 2014 - Riccardi-Ronchetti

Lab. Programmazione sistemi mobili e tablets

2



Student Assessment (2)

- Exam Grading
 - Final Project
- Project Reporting
- Evaluation & Grading



Exam Requirements

- Proposal
 - Abstract & Wireframe Prototype
 - Deadline **May 23 2014**
- Project Report
 - Two-Page Write-up
 - Including Abstract & Wireframe
 - Will provide sample file
 - May include Code snippets in appendix
 - Code
 - Video Presentation (for the day of the exam)
- Upload on Course website
 - <https://www.easychair.org/conferences/?conf=lpsmt2014>



Project Topics

■ Category taxonomy

■ Business

- InfoSearch Interactive Agents
- Augmented Reality
- Examples at "unitnchannel" on [YouTube](#)

■ Education

- Language Learning, On-Line learning (Math, ...)

■ Finance

- Calculators/Sheets, [Wallets](#)

■ Media & Video

- [Drawing](#), [Monitoring](#)

Project Topics (cont.d)



■ Category taxonomy

■ Social

- FB, Tweeter, Linkedin, Foursquare,
- Media Monitoring (polls, etc..)

■ Sports

- Coaching, statistics, ...

■ Travel & Local

- Reviews, Tips, Reports..

■ Health & Fitness

- Pharmacy Locator, Encyclopedia, Q&A,

■ Games

- [Puzzles \(tiles \)](#), ,



Project Topics (cont.d)

■ For more ideas

- check student projects at www.cs76.net/
- Market portfolios (Android and iOS)
 - Google Play
 - Itunes



Recommendations

■ For your project plan to make use of

- Sensors
 - Gyroscope , Accelerometer
 - Microphone/speakers
 - Camera
- Bluetooth / Near Field Communication
- Social platforms
- Social Engagement
 - Group creation (sport, game, health ..)
 - Group collaboration (remote, mobile)
 - Group computing (making decision, ..)

Report Doc Structure

Two-Page



1. Abstract
2. Related Work
3. Usage Model
4. Architecture Design
5. Implementation
6. Evaluation
7. Limitations
8. Team Members' Contribution
9. Lesson Learned
10. References

Project Selection



- Clearly state what is the app is going to do, the motivations
- Clearly state the user expectation, type and engagement
- Sketch ("wireframe") the app graphical layouts , transition btw activities and modus operandi for a stereotypical user.
- Refine incrementally the app concept
- Generate 150 words description



Report Doc Structure

Abstract (150 words)

What is the problem you are trying to solve, what is your solution, why is your solution a good one, and why would users want to use it?

EXAMPLE 1 : Our app name is MathIsFun. We test users' math skills on different levels for basic and advanced operations (+, -, /, *, trigonometry etc..). We score each users for each math test sessions and generate a report to be shared with teacher or anyone. We make the sessions fun by giving feedback to the user on their performance, tips and motivate them with verbal or visual feedback. User groups may range from first grades to high-school students. Teachers and students are the intended recipients of the reports for evaluation and student progress tracking.....



Report Doc Structure

Related Work (50 words)

What have others done that is similar or related to your project? What similar applications are there?

It's ok if you take similar applications and rework them changing their design/functionalities (e.g. Yet-another-microblogging-app)

What related applications are out there (not only mobile devices as well as desktop) ? Include citations for related work, which should include URLs if available. The citations and URLs should appear in a list of references at the end of the report.



Report Doc Structure

Usage Model (200 words)

Describe how a user is going to use your system. Think about this as like a user manual but not too much!. Goal and usage of the app should be clear within a few minutes. Describe the system from a user's perspective.

Include Wireframe screenshots



Report Doc Structure

Architecture Design (150 words)

Present the detailed design of your system. What key assumptions are you making about your system or the environment in which it will be used? The motivations for those assumptions being reasonable? What are the components, how do they fit together and talk to one another? Which protocols or platform features are you using?



Report Doc Structure

Implementation (150 words)

Which parts of your system are implemented? How were they implemented? What tools, libraries, classes did you use for doing the implementation?



Report Doc Structure

Evaluation (150 words)

What kind of testing have you done to validate your system? Have you tested on a real device or the emulator or both? What kind of optimizations did you do? Did real users try the system? What was their experience?



Report Doc Structure

Limitations (50 words) Optional

Describe any limitations with your design and implementation of the system.



Report Doc Structure

Team Members' Contribution (50 words)

Describe the contributions made by each team member to the project. Be specific and describe actual functions implemented by each team member, as well as the number of lines of code implemented by each team member.



Report Doc Structure

Lesson Learned (50 words). Optional

What did you learn? How would you do things differently if you did the project again?

References (50 words). Optional

Provide a list of references cited with complete bibliographic information including URLs where available.



Project Proposal Submission

1. This requirement is for students that plan to do the exam in the summer session
2. -Will provide a superset of project topics to choose from
3. -The proposal requires filling
 1. the "abstract" part of the project description
 2. Wireframe screen shots
4. You MAY be contacted to review.
 1. Silent approval
5. **DEADLINE 23/5/2014**

Grading

■ What happened in the previous years

Iistogramma Voti LPST 2011-2012

Voti	Percent
18	0.02
20	0.01
21	0.11
22	0.02
23	0.05
24	0.04
25	0.24
26	0.07
27	0.06
28	0.19
29	0.05
30	0.26
31	0.01
32	0.01

Spring 2014 - Riccardi-Ronchetti

21

Best Project/Grade (2012)

■ Project Proposal
 ■ Project Description
 ■ Presentation

Spring 2014 - Riccardi-Ronchetti

Lab. Programmazione sistemi mobili e tablets

22



Grading

1. 30% Project Report & Presentation
2. 70% (Usage Model/Architecture Design/
Implementation, Concept Idea, User Interface)